March 29, 2018

ANACORTES, CITY OF
ATTN: MATT REYNOLDS, PE/ASSISTANT PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR
PO BOX 547
ANACORTES WA 98221

RE: Anacortes, City of, ID #02200
Skagit County
Water System Plan, Limited Update - APPROVAL
Submittal # 18-0112

Dear Mr. Matt Reynolds:

Thank you for submitting your limited update planning document, received in our office January 23, 2018, with additional documents received on March 28, 2018. The submittal has been reviewed, and in accordance with the provisions of WAC 246-290-100, is APPROVED.

Information contained in this submittal supports extending the approval period of the Anacortes’s current WSP until March 29, 2022. Approval of the Water System Plan (WSP) limited update is valid as it relates to current standards outlined in Chapter 246-290 WAC, revised January 2017, Chapter 246-293 WAC, revised September 1997, Chapter 70.116 RCW, the Skagit County Coordinated Water System Plan (CWSP), and is subject to the qualifications herein.

Approval of the update of this water system plan is required on or before March 29, 2022 unless the Department of Health (DOH) requests an update or plan amendment pursuant to WAC 246-290-100(9).

APPROVED NUMBER OF CONNECTIONS

The analysis provided in the Anacortes’s Limited Update WSP shows the water system has sufficient capacity to meet the growth projections during the planning period. The water system can support an “unspecified” designation for its approved number of connections. A specific number of approved connections will not be applied at this time. Development may occur in compliance with the schedule and information provided in this WSP. This designation may be rescinded (and replaced with a specified number of approved connections) if ODW determines that the WSP is no longer representative of system activities.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT CONSISTENCY

The WSP meets local government consistency requirements for WSP approval pursuant to RCW 90.03.386 and RCW 43.20.
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SERVICE AREA AND DUTY TO SERVE

Pursuant to RCW 90.03.386 (2), the service area identified in this WSP service area map may now represent an expanded “place of use” for this system’s water rights. Changes in service area should be made through a plan amendment.

WATER RESOURCES

The department’s approval of your water system design does not confer or guarantee any right to a specific quantity of water. The approved number of service connections is based on your representation of available water quantity. If the Washington Department of Ecology, a local planning agency, or other authority responsible for determining water rights and water system adequacy determines that you have use of less water than you represented, the number of approved connections may be reduced commensurate with the actual amount of water and your legal right to use it.

Ecology provided an email on March 20, 2018, stating they had no need for an additional review of the city’s portfolio of water rights since Ecology found no deficiencies in their review of the 2008-2016 WSP.

WATER SYSTEM PLANNING

We recognize the effort and commitment involved in the preparation of this limited plan update. Thank you for your cooperation and we look forward to working with you in the future.

REVIEW FEE

Regulations establishing a schedule for fees for review of planning, engineering and construction documents have been adopted (WAC 246-290-990). Please note that we have included an invoice in the amount of $919.00 for the review of the Water System Plan. This fee covers our cost for review of the initial submittal, plus the review of one revised document. Please remit your complete payment in the form of a check or money order within thirty days of the date of this letter in the enclosed envelope or send payment to: DOH, Revenue Section, and P.O. Box 1099, Olympia, WA 98507-1099.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Krupack  
Regional Planner  
(253) 395-6769

Derek Pell, PE  
Planning and Engineering Supervisor  
(253) 395-6763

Enclosure: Invoice

cc: Jeffrey Marrs, WTP Manager, Anacortes  
John Rose, Ecology, NWRO  
Corina Marote, Skagit County Health Department  
Stacie Pratschner, AICP, Skagit County Planning & Development Services
Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: City of Anacortes  

Planning/Engineering Document Title: WSP 4-Year Limited Update  

Plan Date: WSP 2012/Update 2018

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: City of Anacortes

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government Consistency Statement</th>
<th>For use by water system</th>
<th>For use by local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land use and zoning within the service area.</td>
<td>Update pg 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology. | WSP pg 4-16,  
Update pg 2 | Yes |
| c) For cities and towns that provide water service: All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant utility service extension ordinances. | WSP Section 3.5 pg 3-14 | Yes |
| d) Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area. | WSP Section 3.5 pg 3-14 | Yes |
| e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans. | NA | Not Applicable |

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Signature: Stacie J. Fratschner, AICP

Shagat County PDS

Date: 3-26-18
Consistency Review Guidance
For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place of use.

For small water system management programs, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For engineering documents, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right's place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.

a) A copy of the adopted land use/zoning map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.

b) A copy of the growth projections that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.

c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the utility service extension ordinances within the city or town boundaries. This applies to cities and towns only.

d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.

e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency — Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.

B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.

C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).

February 2016
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Dear Jennifer,

I have reviewed the city of Anacortes Water System Plan 4 Year Limited Update Report. This update report does not include a water rights assessment update. However, it does have an Updated Demand Forecast Summary graph that indicates that actual average daily demand (ADD) and maximum daily demand (MDD) was less than projected for the time period 2008-2016. Based on this data and anticipating further conservation efforts, the city has reduced its projections for the time period 2017 to 2019. Since Ecology did not find any significant deficiencies with the city's last full WSP in regards to water rights, based on this information I feel that no additional review of the city's portfolio of water rights is necessary at this time.

A "not inconsistent" determination regarding watershed plans is not required for this WSP Updated Report because there is not an approved watershed plan for WRIA 3, the Lower Skagit-Samish Watershed.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this project. Please contact me at the phone number given below if you have any additional questions.

John M. Rose LG
Hydrogeologist/GIS Analyst/
After Hours Hazmat Responder
Water Resources Program
Washington Dept. of Ecology
3190 160th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98008
(425) 649-7230