Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name: Anacortes-Housing-Authority-Asbestos-Abatement

HEROS Number: 900000010005127

State / Local Identifier: Washington

Project Location: 719 Q Ave, Anacortes, WA 98221

Additional Location Information:
The Harbor House is located in the downtown central core area of Anacortes easily accessible to all shopping and entertainment activities

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:
This project consists of removing worn asbestos flooring in a 49 unit apartment complex for low income elderly/disabled individuals. When an apartment becomes vacant, the apartment will be made ready for force account labor (housing authority employees) by removing all interior doors, trim, toilet, hot water tank and miscellaneous items necessary to allow for the abatement contractor to remove all flooring and install environmentally safe linoleum and carpeting in accordance with L&I abatement standards. There will be no ground disturbance nor expansion of the existing units for this project and the project will remain a low income multifamily housing apartment for elderly/disabled individuals.

Level of Environment Review Determination:
Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: 58.35(a)(3)(ii)

Funding Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>HUD Program</th>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Funding Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-15-MC-53-0018</td>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)</td>
<td>$40,425.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: $40,425.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: $199,647.00
Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:
Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Law, Authority, or Factor</th>
<th>Mitigation Measure or Condition</th>
<th>Comments on Completed Measures</th>
<th>Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contamination and Toxic</td>
<td>Compliance will be achieved by removal of all asbestos flooring by an EPA certified asbestos removal contractor. All asbestos flooring will be replaced with environmentally safe flooring. All residents will be located to empty adjacent apartments during the removal process.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Determination:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This categorically excluded activity/project converts to EXEMPT per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; <strong>Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part</strong> for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <strong>publish NOI/RROF and obtain “Authority to Use Grant Funds”</strong> (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparer Signature:  

Name / Title / Organization: Joann K. Stewart / Administrative Assistant / ANACORTES

Responsible Entity Agency Official Signature:  

Name / Title: Don Measamer, Director, Planning, Community & Econ Development

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).
Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name: Anacortes-Housing-Authority-Asbestos-Abatement

HEROS Number: 900000010005127

Responsible Entity (RE): ANACORTES, 904 6th St Anacortes WA, 98221

State / Local Identifier: Washington

RE Preparer: Joann K. Stewart

Certifying Officer: Don Measamer

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location: 719 Q Ave, Anacortes, WA 98221

Additional Location Information:
The Harbor House is located in the downtown central core area of Anacortes easily accessible to all shopping and entertainment activities

Direct Comments to: Joann K. Stewart
City of Anacortes
P.O. Box 547
Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:
This project consists of removing worn asbestos flooring in a 49 unit apartment complex for low income elderly/disabled individuals. When an apartment becomes vacant, the apartment will be made ready for force account labor (housing authority employees) by removing all interior doors, trim, toilet, hot water tank and miscellaneous items necessary to allow for the abatement contractor to remove all flooring and install environmentally safe linoleum and carpeting in accordance with L&I abatement standards. There will be no ground disturbance nor expansion of the existing units for this project and the project will remain a low income multifamily housing apartment for elderly/disabled individuals.

Level of Environmental Review Determination:
Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:
58.35(a)(3)(ii)

Determination:

- This categorically excluded activity/project converts to EXEMPT per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; **Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

- This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain “Authority to Use Grant Funds”** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR

- This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

Approval Documents:
[Environ Review Signature page.pdf](#)

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

10/29/2015 16:12
7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

**Funding Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>HUD Program</th>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Funding Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-15-MC-53-0018</td>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)</td>
<td>$40,425.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:** $40,425.00

**Estimated Total Project Cost:** $199,647.00

**Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6</th>
<th>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</th>
<th>Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☑ No</td>
<td>The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☑ No</td>
<td>The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 &amp; § 58.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Quality</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) &amp; (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coastal Zone Management Act</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) &amp; (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contamination and Toxic Substances</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 CFR 50.3(i) &amp; 58.5(i)(2)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endangered Species Act</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**  
Above-Ground Tanks| | 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C | ☐ Yes ✓ No | Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. Reisner’s Fuel Distributors Diesel and Kerosene containers are located 2,078 feet from project and the city has determined, based on the ASD Assessment Tool, that they do not present any risk to the residents of the proposed project site. No other sites adjacent to this proposed project pose any threats of explosive or flammable hazards. |
| **Farmlands Protection**  
Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658 | ☐ Yes ✓ No | This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The project is located within an urban setting. |
| **Floodplain Management**  
Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55 | ☐ Yes ✓ No | This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. See Panel 0040A, Map 5303170040A, 9/17/2003, attached. |
| **Historic Preservation**  
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800 | ☐ Yes ✓ No | Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106. The apartment complex was constructed in 1974 and is less than 45 years old. There will be no ground disturbance that would initiate an archaeological review. |
| **Noise Abatement and Control**  
Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B | ☐ Yes ✓ No | The project is modernization or minor rehabilitation of an existing residential property. A Preliminary Screening was performed, and found the following: the property is located in a minor street in downtown Anacortes with a road DNL calculation of 29.1. The closest working |
railroad is 12,710 feet from the project; the NAS Whidbey Air Station is 10.5 miles from the project but their flight path does not include flights directly over the project. See attached flight map for NAS Whidbey. The project also will not generate any noise above acceptable levels. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation without mitigation.

**Sole Source Aquifers**
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City derives its drinking water from the Skagit River which is approximately 12 miles east of the site.

**Wetlands Protection**
Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The project involves only interior rehabilitation and does not involved any expansion of the footprint or ground disturbance.

**Wild and Scenic Rivers Act**
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The project is located approximately 12 miles from the Skagit River which is designated a Wild and Scenic River in the Skagit County.

**HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS**

### ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Justice</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Order 12898</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. The project is a housing apartment complex that serves low income elderly/disabled individuals.
Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:
Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Law, Authority, or Factor</th>
<th>Mitigation Measure or Condition</th>
<th>Comments on Completed Measures</th>
<th>Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contamination and Toxic Substances</td>
<td>Compliance will be achieved by removal of all asbestos flooring by an EPA certified asbestos removal contractor. All asbestos flooring will be replaced with environmentally safe flooring. All residents will be located to empty adjacent apartments during the removal process.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mitigation Plan
The Anacortes Housing Authority Director, Tee McCallum, will coordinate the mitigation measures to remove the asbestos flooring. An EPA certified asbestos contractor will be hired to perform all mitigation work of removing the asbestos. Work will begin shortly after approval from HUD on the environmental review record and will be completed no later than June 30, 2016. All work will be monitored by City officials and documented in the city files.

Supporting documentation on completed measures
APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General policy</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is HUD’s policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site’s proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

   ✓ No

   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

   Yes

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination
The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

NAS Whidbey AnacortesNoise.jpg

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
   Yes

   ✓ No
Coastal Barrier Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.</td>
<td>Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No
Flood Insurance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.</td>
<td>Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)</td>
<td>24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

   No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

   ✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

   Panel 40.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

   ✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination
The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. FEMA Flood Plan Map # 5303170040A

Effective Date: September 17, 2003

Panel 40

Supporting documentation
Panel 40(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes

- No
Air Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.</td>
<td>Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))</td>
<td>40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?**

   Yes

   ☑ No

   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**
Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

**Supporting documentation**

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

   Yes

   ☑ No
Coastal Zone Management Act

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.</td>
<td>Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))</td>
<td>15 CFR Part 930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

   Yes
   ✓ No

   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. This project is a minor rehabilitation project.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

   Yes
   ✓ No
Contamination and Toxic Substances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 CFR 50.3(i)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **How was site contamination evaluated?** Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.  

   American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)  
   
   ✓ Remediation or clean-up plan  
   
   ASTM Phase II ESA  
   
   ✓ ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening  
   
   None of the Above

2. **Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property?** (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

   No

   ✓ Yes

3. **Mitigation**

   Document and upload the mitigation needed according to the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, tribal, or local oversight agency. If the adverse environmental effects cannot be mitigated, then HUD assistance may not be used for the project at this site.

   **Can adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?**
Adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated.

✓ Yes, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation. Document and upload all mitigation requirements below.

4. **Describe how compliance was achieved in the text box below. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls, or use of institutional controls.**

   Compliance will be achieved by removal of all asbestos flooring by an EPA certified asbestos removal contractor. All asbestos flooring will be replaced with environmentally safe flooring. All residents will be located to empty adjacent apartments during the removal process.

   **If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?**

   ✓ Complete removal

   Risk-based corrective action (RBCA)

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: Remediation or clean-up plan. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances were found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property. The adverse environmental impacts can be mitigated. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the project will be in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. The flooring is assumed to be asbestos and the mitigation will remove all asbestos flooring by an EPA certified asbestos contractor and will be replaced with environmentally safe flooring.

**Supporting documentation**

- Toxic Release.pdf
- HarborHouse-distances (002).pdf
- EPA Detailed Report Trident Seafoods.pdf
- EPA Detailed Report Dakota Creek.pdf
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Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No
Endangered Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>ESA Legislation</th>
<th>Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (“FWS” and “NMFS” or “the Services”).</td>
<td>The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).</td>
<td>50 CFR Part 402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species
Act. The project consists of interior renovations to existing buildings only and will not affect any species or habitats.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

   Yes

✓ No
Explosive and Flammable Hazards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Is the proposed HUD-assisted project a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals), i.e. bulk fuel storage facilities, refineries, etc.?**

   ✓ No

   Yes

2. **Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?**

   ✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. Reisners Fuel Distributors Diesel and Kerosene containers are located 2,078 feet from project and the city has determined, based on the ASD Assessment Tool, that they do not present any risk to the residents of the proposed project site. No other sites adjacent to this proposed project pose any threats of explosive or flammable hazards.

**Supporting documentation**

ASD Reisners.pdf
Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes

- No
Farmlands Protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

   Yes
   
   ✓ No

   If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The project is located within an urban settings.

**Supporting documentation**

*HarborHouse-distances (002).pdf*

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

   Yes
   
   ✓ No
Floodplain Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.</td>
<td>Executive Order 11988</td>
<td>24 CFR 55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

   55.12(c)(3)
   55.12(c)(4)
   55.12(c)(5)
   55.12(c)(6)
   55.12(c)(7)
   55.12(c)(8)
   55.12(c)(9)
   55.12(c)(10)
   55.12(c)(11)

   ✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

   Panel 40.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

   ✓ No
   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes
Screen Summary

Compliance Determination
This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. See Panel 0040A, Map 5303170040A, 9/17/2003, attached.

Supporting documentation

Panel 40(2).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
   Yes
   ✓ No
Historic Preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)</td>
<td>Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)</td>
<td>36 CFR 800 “Protection of Historic Properties” [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

- No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

- No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

- Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Threshold (b). Document and upload the memo or explanation/justification of the other determination below:

The building is less than 45 years old (1974). There will be no ground disturbance that would initiate an archaeological review.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106. The apartment complex was constructed in
1974 and is less than 45 years old. There will be no ground disturbance that would initiate an archaeological review.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes
- No
Noise Abatement and Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD’s noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.</td>
<td>Noise Control Act of 1972</td>
<td>Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: “Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:**

- New construction for residential use
  - Rehabilitation of an existing residential property
    
    NOTE: For modernization projects in all noise zones, HUD encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. See 24 CFR 51 Subpart B for further details. The definition of “modernization” is determined by program office guidance.

- A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

- An interstate land sales registration

- Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

- None of the above

2. **Do you have standardized noise attenuation measures that apply to all modernization and/or minor rehabilitation projects, such as the use of double glazed windows or extra insulation?**

   Yes
3. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000’ from a major road, 3000’ from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Describe findings of the Preliminary Screening:
the property is located in a minor street in downtown Anacortes with a road DNL calculation of 29.1. The closest working railroad is 12,710 feet from the project; the NAS Whidbey Air Station is 10.5 miles from the project but their flight path does not include flights directly over the project. See attached flight map for NAS Whidbey. The project also will not generate any noise above acceptable levels.

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination
The project is modernization or minor rehabilitation of an existing residential property. A Preliminary Screening was performed, and found the following: the property is located in a minor street in downtown Anacortes with a road DNL calculation of 29.1. The closest working railroad is 12,710 feet from the project; the NAS Whidbey Air Station is 10.5 miles from the project but their flight path does not include flights directly over the project. See attached flight map for NAS Whidbey. The project also will not generate any noise above acceptable levels. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation without mitigation.

Supporting documentation
HarborHouse-distances 3.pdf
NAS Whidbey AnacortesNoise(1).jpg

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
Yes
✓ No
Sole Source Aquifers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.</td>
<td>Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)</td>
<td>40 CFR Part 149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?**
   A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

   ✓ No

   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

   Yes

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**
The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City derives its drinking water from the Skagit River which is approximately 12 miles east of the site.

**Supporting documentation**

[NEPA Analysis.pdf]

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No
**Wetlands Protection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service’s National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed. Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.</td>
<td>Executive Order 11990</td>
<td>24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building’s footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

   ✓ No

   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

   Yes

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The project involves only interior rehabilitation and does not involved any expansion of the footprint or ground disturbance.

**Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

   ✓ No
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.</td>
<td>The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))</td>
<td>36 CFR Part 297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.
Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination
This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The project is located approximately 12 miles from the Skagit River which is designated a Wild and Scenic River in the Skagit County.

Supporting documentation

NEPAssit Skagit River Distance.pdf
HarborHouse-distances 4.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
Yes
✓ No
Environmental Justice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.</td>
<td>Executive Order 12898</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project’s total environmental review?

   Yes

   ✓ No

   Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. The project is a housing apartment complex that serves low income elderly/disabled individuals.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

   Yes

   ✓ No